IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

NIPPON SHINYAKU CO.,

LTD.,

Plaintiff,

C.A. No. 21-1015-JLH

V.

SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS,

INC.,

Defendant.

Thursday, May 9, 2024 10:00 a.m. Teleconference

844 King Street Wilmington, Delaware

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JENNIFER L. HALL United States District Court Judge

## APPEARANCES:

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP

BY: AMY M. DUDASH, ESQ.

BY: AMANDA S. WILLIAMSON, ESQ.

BY: WAN-SHON LO, ESQ.

BY: KRISTA VINK VENEGAS, ESQ.

BY: MICHAEL T. SIKORA, ESQ.

Counsel for the Plaintiff

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2 MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL, LLP 3 JACK BLUMENFELD, ESQ. BY: -and-4 5 LATHAM & WATKINS, BY: MICHAEL A. MORIN, ESQ. 6 -and-7 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, 8 GARRET & DUNNER, LLP WILLIAM B. RAICH, ESQ. 9 BY: CHARLES LIPSEY, ESQ. 10 Counsel for the Defendant 11 12 09:59:39 13 09:59:39 14 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is Jen Hall. We're here on the phone for a teleconference hearing 09:59:41 15 in NS versus Sarepta. It's civil action number 21-1015. We 09:59:44 16 09:59:53 17 have a court reporter on the line today. 09:59:56 18 Could we have appearances, please, for the NS 09:59:58 19 parties? 10:00:00 20 MS. DUDASH: Good morning, Your Honor. This is 10:00:02 21 Amy Dudash from Morgan Lewis for Nippon Shinyaku and NS Pharma and with me are my colleagues from Morgan Lewis, 10:00:08 22 10:00:11 23 Amanda Williamson, Krista Venegas, Shon Lo, Michael Sikora 10:00:19 24 and Jess Moriatsu. 10:00:20 25 THE COURT: Good morning to all of you. And can 10:00:23 1

10:00:25 2

10:00:27 3

10:00:32 4

10:00:36 5

10:00:41 6

10:00:44 7

10:00:49 8

10:00:52 9

10:00:59 10

10:01:03 11

10:01:06 12

10:01:11 13

10:01:14 14

10:01:18 15

10:01:23 16

10:01:28 17

10:01:31 18

10:01:34 19

10:01:39 20

10:01:43 21

10:01:46 22

10:01:50 23

10:01:53 24

10:01:56 25

I have appearances, please, for the Sarepta parties.

MR. BLUMENFELD: Good morning, Your Honor. Jack Blumenfeld from Morris in this case for Sarepta and UWA and with me here is Mike Morin from Latham and Watkins and Charles Lipsey and Bill Raich from Finnegan Henderson.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

So we put out an oral order last night about the topics that we wanted to discuss today. We do have some availability to put this case to the jury the week of August 12th if the parties would be prepared to go forward then.

I've also spoken with Judge Burke and we could have him do the jury selection the Friday before August 9th if the parties consented to that, which would allow me to give a little more time and to account for some of the potential delays we might have with the translation issues. So let me hear first from the NS side about that proposal.

MS. DUDASH: Unfortunately, Your Honor, we've reached out to our witnesses, our experts and our fact witnesses and they're largely unavailable that week. would of course consent to jury selection by Judge Burke at any time and would appreciate the extra time in our case, but August 12th does not work for multiple of our expert witnesses and fact witnesses, so we're not able to move forward on that date. It would have been enough time otherwise, it's just the issue with our witnesses.

10:02:00 1	THE COURT: Do you have a sense of what your
10:02:02 2	availability looks like? For example, the week after I have
10:02:08 3	some other conferences that I could move to the August 12th
10:02:12 4	week and we could put you on for August 19th or is this more
10:02:17 5	an issue of just generally that time in August not working,
10:02:22 6	which I would understand?
10:02:22 7	MS. DUDASH: August does not work for us.
10:02:24 8	THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
10:02:27 9	MS. DUDASH: Unfortunately. We're trying.
10:02:28 10	THE COURT: No. And I understand too, and I
10:02:31 11	want to try as well to accommodate as best I can. So what I
10:02:35 12	can tell you is that for a five-day jury trial right now, if
10:02:40 13	we don't do August, I'm just looking at my calendar. I
10:03:10 14	think, let me just touch base with my case manager, it's
10:03:18 15	possible September 23rd might work. Just stand by for a
10:03:24 16	minute.
10:03:27 17	MS. DUDASH: Oh. Your Honor, September 23rd
10:03:31 18	doesn't work for us. We inquired generally. I can give you
10:03:34 19	three of our dates that we have found preliminarily if that
10:03:43 20	would be helpful and for you to double-check you are
10:03:46 21	schedule.
10:03:46 22	THE COURT: That would be absolutely helpful.
10:03:46 23	Go ahead.
10:03:48 24	MS. DUDASH: So we have full availability on
10:03:50 25	October 21st, November 4th

10:03:53 1	THE COURT: That week absolutely will not work,
10:03:56 2	unfortunately.
10:03:57 3	MS. DUDASH: Okay. November 4th and then all of
10:04:00 4	December.
10:04:02 5	THE COURT: Okay. So I could put it on for
10:04:05 6	November 4th. You'd be second in line.
10:04:10 7	MS. DUDASH: Okay.
10:04:10 8	THE COURT: For December, the first week of
10:04:13 9	December you'd be third in line. The second week of
10:04:17 10	December you'd be second in line. I could do oh, wow
10:04:29 11	I could do December 16th.
10:04:32 12	MS. DUDASH: Okay. That works for us. Actually
10:04:35 13	that's the best date for all of our witnesses.
10:04:38 14	THE COURT: Okay. And we could potentially do a
10:04:42 15	jury selection the Friday before. It might not be Judge
10:04:46 16	Burke, but I would would have to double-check with the other
10:04:48 17	magistrate judges.
10:04:50 18	Let me turn it over to Sarepta. How does
19	December 16th look for you? And you may not know and that's
10:04:52 20	okay.
10:04:52 21	MR. MORIN: Your Honor, I don't know for sure
10:04:55 22	quite yet. We do have one potential issue, which is I'm
10:04:59 23	lead counsel in Abbott/Dextron, in your courthouse, Your
10:05:05 24	Honor, in front of Judge Jordan. And that is one of the few
10:05:08 25	available dates that was already submitted to him for the

10:05:12 1 10:05:17 2 10:05:21 3 10:05:23 4 10:05:27 5 10:05:32 6 10:05:40 7 10:05:43 8 10:05:47 9 10:05:51 10 10:05:54 11 10:05:58 12 10:05:58 13 10:06:01 14 10:06:09 15 10:06:13 16 10:06:15 17 10:06:17 18 10:06:19 19 10:06:25 20 10:06:25 21 10:06:28 22 10:06:31 23 10:06:34 24 10:06:40 25

damages portion of the trial that we just recently had, Your Honor. And he's of course retiring, I just saw in mid January, I just saw that this morning.

So I would say two things. If you'd allow us to talk to our witnesses and confirm their availability on that week of December 16th and if we could do both that and maybe give a day or two and see if he's got something in that case, because its already been submitted as one of the few dates. But if you just give us a little bit of time, Your Honor, and we'll get back to you as quickly as we can with an update and we will lock down and confirm with the other witnesses.

I also of a long plane trip to go out of the country that Sunday and -- on a safari, but other people can wait for the jury to come back if they take longer, of course, and they'll be in good hands.

THE COURT: Well, that's a reason to I think potentially do the jury selection that Friday, December 13th, if we can get some help from one of the magistrate judges.

Is there any objection that you know on Sarepta's side by having Judge Burke or another one of the magistrate judges select the jury?

MR. MORIN: Absolutely not. And I'm acquaintances or friends with folks who had a recent jury

10:06:44 1 10:06:47 2 10:06:49 3 10:06:52 4 10:06:52 5 10:06:55 6 10:06:55 7 10:06:59 8 10:07:02 9 10:07:08 10 10:07:09 11 10:07:12 12 10:07:13 13 10:07:15 14 10:07:20 15 10:07:25 16 10:07:26 17 10:07:32 18 10:07:35 19 10:07:38 20 10:07:42 21 10:07:43 22 10:07:47 23 10:07:50 24

10:07:53 25

with you and my understanding in that case was one of the your colleagues helped with the jury selection and they even instructed the jury, I believe, and showed the video on that Friday, so they were ready to go with Your Honor, from what I understand, that Monday and we would be fine with that as well.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fantastic. I do think it works so much better and that would allow us to get everybody out of here on December 20th so they could enjoy their holidays and planned trips.

All right. Let's hear -- plan to hear back from folks on that.

And then the other thing I wanted to discuss with you all was whether, since we may still have some folks in town, whether we should pick a couple hours next week as long as everyone's here and do the breach of contract claim. I'm happy to accommodate that even if it later turns out to be unnecessary because it is just a couple hours, that would be fine. And if folks are going to be here in the building, whether we shouldn't try to get some of the business people in a room together to talk.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So let me hear about both of those proposals} \\ \mbox{from NS's side.}$ 

MS. DUDASH: Sure, Your Honor. We appreciate your hearing the breach of contract claim on Monday. I

10:07:56 1 think the parties, since your order, we are extremely close 10:08:01 2 to settling. We have an amount that we've agreed to and the last piece in settling the claim would just be the execution 10:08:06 3 of a very simple settlement agreement which I sent over to 10:08:09 4 Mr. Morin this morning. So I think that, you know, provided 10:08:13 5 10:08:19 6 we can get that executed over the weekend, we'll be in a 10:08:23 7 position to dismiss the claim and we won't need the hearing 10:08:26 8 date. But the hearing date, it's helpful, it keeps everyone 10:08:29 9 on track and we'll make sure we get this resolved as 10:08:33 10 speedily as possible. 10:08:34 11 THE COURT: Okay. 10:08:34 12 10:08:36 13 10:08:42 14 10:08:46 15 10:08:49 16 home. 10:08:51 17 10:08:54 18

10:08:56 19

10:08:59 20

10:09:02 21

10:09:06 22

10:09:11 23

10:09:16 25

24

MS. DUDASH: And then as to settlement, our people from NS are still here, so, you know, we're happy to come. Monday would obviously be better for us, given that, you know, after that date they would be able to go back

THE COURT: Outstanding. And do you think -okay. That makes perfect sense.

Let me hear from Sarepta's side.

MR. MORIN: Your Honor, we agree it's a simple dollar payment. We agreed on the amount yesterday. Ms. Williamson was kind enough to send the draft, but it was at 9:58, so literally after we were on the call with the Court, so I haven't looked at it yet. But I think you can, in good faith, and I'll give you the representation, as long as the

10:10:09 16 10:10:13 17

10:10:16 18

10:10:21 19

10:10:23 20

10:10:25 21

10:10:27 22

10:10:30 23

10:10:33 25

24

dollar amount of that claim, we are going to work that out and there's no reason to leave even -- even for any purposes, to leave a trial or a hearing on the calendar on Monday when it's a lump sum payment to resolve the issue.

We'll look at it today. I would take it off calendar. Of course, if it contains onerous terms, which I can't imagine it would, or we can't work it out, I guess come back and do it in the jury trial. My point is that's not going to happen and we're done. We've agreed upon the amount and I would say it's beyond an agreement in principle. And you can enforce the fact that we're paying the amount that we have, I won't say it on the record, to resolve the breach of contract claim with a dismissal. So I don't see any reason to leave it on.

With respect to the parties meeting, Sarepta is ready and able to meet next week. I had a couple of thoughts, if Your Honor will hear from us on how that might be most productive.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MR. MORIN: So we think a couple of things. We think the litigators and our friends on the other side, excellent lawyers and everyone is fighting away, but we think if there is going to be a meeting next week and our folks will come and we'll bring fulsome decision-making

10:10:38 1
10:10:41 2
10:10:44 3
10:10:48 4
10:10:53 5
10:10:55 6
10:11:00 7
10:11:02 8
10:11:06 9
10:11:07 10

10:11:09 11

10:11:13 12

10:11:16 13

10:11:19 14

10:11:22 15

10:11:25 16

10:11:29 17

10:11:34 18

10:11:37 19

10:11:41 20

10:11:45 21

10:11:47 22

10:11:47 23

10:11:52 24

10:11:53 25

authority who are going to work in good faith to see if we can resolve something, we think a couple things. Number one, that it will be important and helpful if we want to make it the most productive to have a neutral involved, whether it's one of your colleagues, I know it's very short notice, or whether it's a respected mediator like Judge Farnan or something like that, we think it's going to be important to have a facilitator in the room. That's my first point.

Second point, while we're available any day next week and our clients are coming all the way from Asia and I appreciate that time, we were thinking something a little later in the week might be helpful, because if we use a neutral, whether it's one of your colleagues or Judge Farnan or any other mediator, and we're open to whoever Your Honor might suggest and we can pick anyone good, that we'd want to get some mediation statements and let that person learn a little bit about the case at least so they don't come in completely cold and read some papers. And that will take a smidgeon of time to identify somebody and of course put the statements together. We have a massive team here and we can get the statements together, but also the time for the mediator to read and absorb the materials. Our view is, I don't know if it's going to settle, but our view is if we come in good faith to do it, we should do everything we can

10:11:56 1 10:12:00 2 3 10:12:04 10:12:08 4 10:12:11 5 10:12:18 6 10:12:21 7 10:12:25 8 10:12:28 9 10:12:30 10 10:12:35 11 10:12:39 12 10:12:43 13 10:12:45 14 10:12:46 15

10:12:51 16

10:12:53 17

10:12:58 18

10:13:03 19

10:13:04 20

10:13:07 21

10:13:10 22

10:13:15 23

10:13:17 24

10:13:20 25

to weigh the scales towards making it as productive as possible and that means, A, a neutral, and B, a little more time so that neutral could consider the parties' positions and be a helpful facilitator.

THE COURT: So I think what I hear you saying is you're thinking that is both something that the attorneys would be involved in, more of a standard mediation rather than having the business people talking directly?

MR. MORIN: I think that would be the most productive thing. Of course probably a great mediator, whether it's someone from the bench or a retired judge or whoever it might be, would probably do some shuttle diplomacy and it may be helpful to get the principles in the room without the lawyers a fair amount. But we would be around to give advice or whatever, but we could stay out of the way a little bit to the extent possible. What I see it as a more traditional mediation would be the most productive. And I know how busy your courthouse is, but if one of your colleagues could do it, I always feel like somebody wearing the robe can have a little more influence on both sides and I include our own client in terms of trying to facilitate a settlement. We could come -- again, I can't tell you whether we would work it out, we may not be able to, but we would come in good faith with every intention of giving it a try.

10:13:22 1 10:13:26 2 10:13:28 3 10:13:33 4 10:13:37 5 10:13:37 6 10:13:39 7 10:13:42 8 10:13:45 9 10:13:49 10 10:13:53 11 10:13:57 12 10:13:58 13 10:14:01 14 10:14:05 15 10:14:08 16 10:14:12 17 10:14:16 18 10:14:21 19 20 10:14:24 21 10:14:27 22 10:14:29 23

10:14:31 24

10:14:36 25

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. You mentioned Judge Farnan a couple times. I'm not familiar with what his calendar looks like these days. Is he sort of available on call to show up? I wouldn't expect that he would be, but maybe you know better than I do.

MR. MORIN: We don't. We did not want to -- of course this all happened very quickly, Your Honor. We did not call over, for example, this morning, because we wanted to float those ideas by you and our friends on the other side. He is one example, but certainly we could get off the call and see. He may have a date because something settled or didn't go forward or he may not have dates. But he's not the only game in town. Of course there are a number of your retired colleagues who are here and there's other mediators. I will be open minded about whoever it is as long as it's someone who, you know, is experienced at doing these things, because it seems like there's no time like the present. Again, who knows what will happen. It seems like an opportune time and NS os here from Japan when the issues are fresh in everybody's mind when some of the issues have been crystallized a little bit, it seems like an opportunity, so we just don't want to waste it.

THE COURT: Yes. So what I can tell you is while you've been talking, I might be able to get you some time with Judge Fallon on Tuesday.

10:14:39 1 So let me turn it back over to NS. A lot has 10:14:44 2 been said. I know you had a preference for Monday and I appreciate that, that folks of travel plans and they do want 10:14:46 3 to get home. What do you think about what counsel has said 10:14:50 4 so far? 10:14:54 5 10:14:54 6 MS. DUDASH: We're amenable to Tuesday and to a 10:14:58 7

10:15:02 8

10:15:06 9

10:15:07 10

10:15:13 11

10:15:16 12

10:15:21 13

10:15:25 14

10:15:29 15

10:15:30 16

10:15:32 17

10:15:37 18

10:15:41 19

10:15:45 20

10:15:50 21

10:15:52 22

10:15:55 23

10:15:59 24

10:16:03 25

meeting. We're not in a position and our client is not in a position to prepare a full-blown mediation statement, but we'd be happy to meet and discuss. We do have the pretrial order that sets out the claims at issue, so I'm sure Judge Fallon could read that and get up to speed in the case and we could see if, if those discussions bear fruit and we're not able -- but we're not able to get a settlement across the line, we can certainly think about a full-blown mediation after that point.

THE COURT: Okay. I do think -- I mean, you know, we're so close to trial, everybody does understand the issues and so I do think it's just -- at this point, you know, we could get a mediator up to speed, but it's really up to the parties whether or not they're ready to resolve their differences.

So let me think about what the best way might be to proceed. Should we reconvene on the phone later today after the parties have had an opportunity to discuss who they might like to select as a private mediator with the

10:16:43 11

10:16:47 12

10:16:52 13

10:16:58 14

10:17:01 15

10:17:03 16

10:17:06 17

10:17:09 18

10:17:13 19

10:17:14 20

10:17:19 21

10:17:22 22

10:17:24 23

10:17:29 24

10:17:32 25

idea that if that doesn't bear fruit that we could have a call with Judge Fallon to set a time for next Tuesday?

MS. DUDASH: I don't think we'll be able to schedule the private -- it will take us probably several days to agree on a mediator between the parties, to be honest, Your Honor. And then to schedule some of the better mediators I think will be impossible to get that done before the end of the week next week, just as a practical matter, but we are happy to go forward with Judge Fallon if she is available.

MR. MORIN: Your Honor. I didn't mean to interrupt, Your Honor. Sarepta would be happy to go forward Tuesday with Judge Fallon and we'd appreciate that.

And I might just ask our friends to reconsider a little bit. Eveyone is here and getting ready for opening statements and the trial next week, so certainly we're going to be working around the clock. I think confidential five-or 10-page statements would be incredibly helpful, I would think, to Judge Fallon in terms of thinking about her. They would be confidential and the parties could put in what they wanted in terms of those and would work in good faith, but about I think that that background would be more helpful than a pretrial order that says what time things are going to be changed and some undisputed facts that don't have any context. But I leave it to your honor, but that seems

10:17:36 1
10:17:39 2
10:17:42 3
10:17:43 4
10:17:47 5
10:17:50 6
10:17:53 7
10:17:55 8

strange to me when they have there whole trial -- if we're going to do this on Tuesday, if their folks are going to stay here from Japan, if our folks are going to come down from Boston, which they wouldn't otherwise do, why don't put our best foot forward to the extent possible and give her something by Monday noon or something that at least educates her a little bit.

THE COURT: Yep. So at this point I'm going to extricate myself from these discussions and leave it to her. I will have a conversation with her and have briefly over text just now about what's going on and so I'll have a conversation with her about where I think things stand, but it will be her decision about what she wants to hear from the parties. But of course what she wants to hear may depend on whether she'll be able to get to lengthy submissions on Monday. But I would encourage the parties to be as honest as they can and to keep it as short as possible so she's best able to help you on Tuesday. So I will talk to her when we hang up and I think you can expect to see an order from her forthcoming.

I'll tell you this, what I'm hearing today is that we don't need to have a hearing on Monday, but I will set one, I'm happy to do that, so why don't we just have a letter from the parties by close of business tomorrow letting us know and I can put something right on the

10:17:57 9 10:18:01 10

10:18:11 12 10:18:15 13

10:18:07 11

10:18:17 14

10:18:22 15

10:18:25 16

10:18:28 17

10:18:32 18

10:18:36 19

10:18:40 20

10:18:45 21

10:18:48 22

10:18:51 23

10:18:56 24

10:19:00 25

10:19:03 1	calendar this weekend. I will be around and in chambers and
10:19:06 2	we can hear the contract claims. So why don't you let me
10:19:10 3	know before the end of the day tomorrow. If somebody says,
10:19:12 4	one or the other side says they want to go forward with the
10:19:18 5	bench trial on Monday, then that's what we'll do.
10:19:19 6	Does anybody have any questions about how we're
10:19:22 7	going to proceed?
10:19:23 8	MS. DUDASH: No, Your Honor. Thank you very
10:19:25 9	much.
10:19:27 10	MR. MORIN: On behalf of Sarepta, no Your Honor.
10:19:35 11	THE COURT: All right. Thanks very much. Bye
10:19:38 12	bye.
13	(Court adjourned at 10:19 a.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	I hereby certify the foregoing is a true and
18	accurate transcript from my stenographic notes in the proceedings.
19	proceedings.
20	<u>/s/ Stacy M. Ingram, RPR</u> Official Court Reporter
21	U.S. District Court
22	
23	
24	
25	